

Identity Federations: Security Analysis



Rémi Mollon

CERN Computer Security Team

FIM4R Meeting

Espoo, Finland – October 2nd, 2013

Scope

- Centre of Excellence model
 - Defining requirements for FIM
- Beginning of risk analysis
- From a generic point of view
 - Implementation independent

Identity Federations

- Group of...
 - Identity Providers – IdPs
 - Service Providers - SPs
- Common
 - Identity management
 - Attribute management
 - Policies

Trust Framework

- Between IdPs and SPs
 - ... and users!
 - Establishment of common policies and obligations
 - Proper user authentication by IdP
 - Proper use of user attributes by SP
- Malicious entity breaks trust chain
 - Traceability should be ensured

Different Approaches

- User-centric Federation
 - Open environment
 - “Public” services
- Provider-centric Federation
 - Circle of trust
 - Defined group of IdPs and SPs
 - Agreed common policies
- Mixed Federation
 - Guest IdPs

Authentication

- Done by corresponding Identity Provider
 - Single point of failure for a group of users
- Single password for all services
 - Wide impact in case of compromised passwords
 - Revocation mechanism is paramount
- Stronger authentication for sensitive services

Single Sign On

- Auto-log on once authenticated against IdP
- Determination of the corresponding IdP
 - « Where Are You From » (WAYF)
- Be careful with logout
 - Auto log on even after service logout
 - IdP logout may not logout from services
 - Session timeout

Attribute Provider

- Consistent handling in a given community
 - IdP independent
- Very sensitive entity
 - Single point of failure
- Who is responsible for it?
- Compatibility with existing systems

Levels of Assurance – IdPs

- Physical identity checks
 - Vouching, passports
- Authentication strength
 - Password rules (including recovery)
 - Simple password vs multi-factors
- Identity life cycle
- Accreditation mechanism
 - Requirements clearly defined in policies
- Lowest level for open third-parties' IdPs

Levels of Assurance – SPs

- Appropriate security measures in place
- Restrict user attributes access
 - By groups according to sensitiveness/purpose
 - Need-to-know
- Accreditation mechanism
 - Requirements clearly defined in policies

Malicious Identity Provider

- Access to users' attributes
- Misuse of SPs resources
 - Impersonate users
 - Fake users

Malicious Service Provider

- Misuse of users' attributes
 - Data mining, targeted attacks
- Phishing attacks
 - Redirection to fake login page
- Cross-Site Request Forgery attacks
 - Actions on other services using user credentials

Privacy

- Easy correlation of users' activities
- Access to users' attributes
 - SPs may not need all of them
 - Clear statement for users
 - Mechanism to restrict access
 - Should be part of service-specific policies
 - Third-party attribute provider

Data Protection Laws

- International federations
 - Laws may differ between countries
 - Confidentiality of attributes
- Policies should take them into account
 - Not easy to enforce technically

Independent Partners

- Different needs and obligations
- Heterogeneous technologies
 - Need of (credentials) translators
 - Implementation issues
- Compatibility may not be complete

Young technology

- Implementation and configuration issues
- (Mis)understanding from the users
 - Good practices
- To be reviewed:
 - Security Procedures
 - Incident Response

Conclusions

- Trust/Accreditation model to be determined
 - e.g. International Grid Trust Federation model
- Privacy issues
 - Complex in international environment
- Interoperability
- New environment
 - Security implication need to be understood

Questions?

- Thank you for your attention!

